

09/07/2025

DRAFT

Ashfield District Council

Site Hamilton
 Client Hamilton Solar Ltd
 Surveyor D.Williams
 Survey date 01/03/2025
 Climatic conditions Overcast

Tree Constraints Information

Tree Reference No.	Species	Stem Diameter (mm) at 1.5m	Category Grade	Radius of RPA (m)	Area of RPA (m2)	Height (m)	Canopy Spread (m)				Life Stage	Physiological Condition	Structural Condition	Recommendations	Priority Code
							N	E	S	W					
1G	Ash, Hawthorn, and Elm	140	C1	1.7	8.9	7	1	1	1	1	Young	Fair	Tall, etiolated tree group.	N/A	N/A
2H	Hawthorn and Blackthorn	75	B2	0.9	2.5	1.25	0.3	0.3	0.25	0.25	Early mature	Good	Boundary hedgerow.	N/A	N/A
3H	Hawthorn and Blackthorn	75	B2	0.9	2.5	1.25	0.3	0.3	0.25	0.25	Early mature	Good	Boundary hedgerow.	N/A	N/A
4G	Ash	380	B1	4.6	65.3	11	6	6	6	6	Early mature	Fair	Ivy clad good bud density at present, minor dead wood within canopy, telephone wires passe through the southern section of the canopy. Contains two dead stems in the centre of the group.	Remove dead Elm	2
5G	Blackthorn and Ash	75	C1	0.9	2.5	5	1	1	1	1	Young	Fair	Dense tree group.	N/A	N/A
6T	Hawthorn	130	C1	1.6	7.6	3.5	1	1	1	1	Mature	Fair	Self-set beneath wires.	N/A	N/A
7H	Hawthorn, Blackthorn and Elder	80	B3	1.0	2.9	1	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	Mature	Good	Maintained by flail.	N/A	N/A
8T	Elm	160	U	1.9	11.6	6	1	2	2	1	Young	Dead	Dead stem.	Remove	2
9G	Hawthorn	120	C1	1.4	6.5	5.5	1	1	1	1	Early mature	Fair	Linear group.	N/A	N/A
10T	Hawthorn	100, 100, 100, 100 #	C1	2.7	22.6	4	2	2.5	1	2	Mature	Fair	Off-site tree dimensions estimated, close proximity to fence line.	N/A	N/A
11T	Hawthorn	150, 200 #	C1	3.0	28.3	5.5	2	2	3	2	Mature	Fair	Self-set growing over fence.	N/A	N/A

- The tree survey process has been undertaken in accordance with [Section 4.4 of BS5837:2012 Trees in Relation to Design, Demolition and Construction Recommendations](#), summarised in [Appendix 1](#) of the Arboricultural Appraisal.
- Each item surveyed has been given a sequential number with the respective suffix 'T' for trees 'G' for groups of trees, 'H' for hedgerows and 'W' for woodland which corresponds to the Tree Constraints Plan ([Plan 1](#)) and Tree Protection Plan ([Plan 2](#)).
- The Root Protection Area (RPA) values have been calculated using the methodology set out in [Section 4.6 and Annexes C & D of BS5837:2012](#). Where pre-existing site conditions may indicate that the rooting system is not asymmetric, the RPAs may be modified in [Plan 1](#) and [Plan 2](#) but the area not reduced based on arboricultural reasoning. Such instances include the physical obstruction to root development or inhospitable rooting environments.
- Where trees are located outside of the client land ownership, or access is not achievable stem diameter have been estimated, highlighted using the suffix #
- Category Grading afforded to each item surveyed provides a hierarchy system for retention based on condition, amenity value and longevity in accordance with [Table 1 Cascade Chart for Tree Quality Assessment described in BS5837:2012](#). A = High value, B = Moderate value C = low value & U compromised condition. Suffix 1,2 & 3 related to Arboricultural, Cultural and Ecological value respectively.
- Priority codes provide a classification of the urgency of works; Code 1 = Undertake within one month of the survey date, Code 2 = Perform within 6 months of the survey date, Code 3 = Complete works within one year.
- Where specified small diameter limbs/deadwood refer to a diameter <75mm, moderate deadwood/medium diameter limb equates to that of 75mm – 150mm and large diameter limbs/deadwood are those that exceed a diameter of 150mm

Site Hamilton
 Client Hamilton Solar Ltd
 Surveyor D.Williams
 Survey date 01/03/2025
 Climatic conditions Overcast

Tree Constraints Information

Tree Reference No.	Species	Stem Diameter (mm) at 1.5m	Category Grade	Radius of RPA (m)	Area of RPA (m2)	Height (m)	Canopy Spread (m)				Life Stage	Physiological Condition	Structural Condition	Recommendations	Priority Code
							N	E	S	W					
12T	Sycamore	310, 160, 90 #	C1	4.3	58.7	6.5	3	2.5	3	2	Early mature	Fair	Self-set close to electrical infrastructure and fence line, of low amenity value	N/A	N/A
13H	Holly, Sycamore and Hazel	75	B2/3	0.9	2.5	1	0.3	0.3	0.25	0.25	Mature	Good	Field boundary hedgerow.	N/A	N/A
14H	Hawthorn and Blackthorn	75	B2/3	0.9	2.5	1	0.3	0.3	0.25	0.25	Mature	Good	Field boundary hedgerow.	N/A	N/A
15T	Ash	130	C1	1.6	7.6	5	2	2	1.5	1.5	Young	Fair	Hedgerow obscures lower stem, good bud density at present.	N/A	N/A
16T	Ash	100	C1	1.2	4.5	6	1	2.5	1	1	Young	Fair	Hedgerow obscures lower stem, good bud density at present.	N/A	N/A
17T	Ash	150	C1	1.8	10.2	6.5	1.5	1.5	1	1	Young	Fair	Hedgerow obscures lower stem, good bud density at present.	N/A	N/A
18T	Goat Willow	160, 280, 220 #	C1	4.7	68.9	7.5	4.5	4.5	2	3	Early mature	Fair	Multi-stemmed at 0.5m southern stem has engulfed wooden rail fence.	N/A	N/A
19T	Ash	150	C1	1.8	10.2	7	2	2	2	2	Young	Fair	Hedgerow tree, deep field ploughing has results in root damage, as with other trees along this boundary	N/A	N/A
20T	Goat Willow	250, 200, 200 #	C1	4.5	64.5	4	3	3	3	3	Early mature	Fair	Multi-stemmed form typical of species	N/A	N/A
21G	Hazel and Goat willow	130	C1	1.6	7.6	6	3	3	3	3	Semi mature	Fair	Highway verge planting.	N/A	N/A
22G	Sycamore	650 #	A1	7.8	191.2	14	5	5	5	5	Mature	Good	Off-site tree group.	N/A	N/A
23T	Silver Birch	470 #	B1	5.6	99.9	11	3.5	4	4	4	Mature	Good	Historically reduced, shed obscures lower stem	N/A	N/A
24T*	Crack Willow	620 #	B1	7.4	173.9	8	3	3	3	3	Mature	Good	Historically pollarded at 3.5m, tall new growth.	N/A	N/A

- The tree survey process has been undertaken in accordance with [Section 4.4 of BS5837:2012 Trees in Relation to Design, Demolition and Construction Recommendations](#), summarised in [Appendix 1](#) of the Arboricultural Appraisal.
- Each item surveyed has been given a sequential number with the respective suffix 'T' for trees 'G' for groups of trees, 'H' for hedgerows and 'W' for woodland which corresponds to the Tree Constraints Plan ([Plan1](#)) and Tree Protection Plan ([Plan 2](#)).
- The Root Protection Area (RPA) values have been calculated using the methodology set out in [Section 4.6 and Annexes C & D of BS5837:2012](#). Where pre-existing site conditions may indicate that the rooting system is not asymmetric, the RPAs may be modified in [Plan 1](#) and [Plan 2](#) but the area not reduced based on arboricultural reasoning. Such instances include the physical obstruction to root development or inhospitable rooting environments.
- Where trees are located outside of the client land ownership, or access is not achievable stem diameter have been estimated, highlighted using the suffix #
- Category Grading afforded to each item surveyed provides a hierarchy system for retention based on condition, amenity value and longevity in accordance with [Table 1 Cascade Chart for Tree Quality Assessment described in BS5837:2012](#). **A** = High value, **B** = Moderate value **C** = low value & **U** compromised condition. Suffix 1,2 & 3 related to Arboricultural, Cultural and Ecological value respectively.
- Priority codes provide a classification of the urgency of works; Code 1 = Undertake within one month of the survey date, Code 2 = Perform within 6 months of the survey date, Code 3 = Complete works within one year.
- Where specified small diameter limbs/deadwood refer to a diameter <75mm, moderate deadwood/medium diameter limb equates to that of 75mm – 150mm and large diameter limbs/deadwood are those that exceed a diameter of 150mm

Site Hamilton
 Client Hamilton Solar Ltd
 Surveyor D.Williams
 Survey date 01/03/2025
 Climatic conditions Overcast

Tree Constraints Information

Tree Reference No.	Species	Stem Diameter (mm) at 1.5m	Category Grade	Radius of RPA (m)	Area of RPA (m2)	Height (m)	Canopy Spread (m)				Life Stage	Physiological Condition	Structural Condition	Recommendations	Priority Code
							N	E	S	W					
25T	Silver Birch	550 #	B1	6.6	136.9	10	5	3	3	6	Mature	Good	Single story structure set beneath canopy.	N/A	N/A
26T*	Ash	230	C1	2.8	23.9	5	2	2	1.5	2	Semi mature	Good	Canopy development suppressed by adjacent trees.	N/A	N/A
27T*	Ash	300	C1	3.6	40.7	7	1.5	1.5	2.5	2	Semi mature	Good	Stem directly adjacent to fence.	N/A	N/A
28G	Ash	270	C1	3.2	33.0	12	5	5	5	5	Semi mature	Good	Tall etiolated and congested group.	N/A	N/A
29G	Elm	80	U	1.0	2.9	6	2	2	2	2	Young	Poor	Has succumb to Dutch elm disease.	Remove	2
30G	Ash	280	C1	3.4	35.5	13	4	4	4	4	Semi mature	Fair	Tall, etiolated group.	N/A	N/A
31T	Horse Chestnut	520	B1	6.2	122.3	9	4.5	4	4	4	Mature	Good	Bark crack on eastern side of southern primary limb at 3m, considered to be superficial	Monitor for change/occlusion of crack on southern limb within the next 12 month's	N/A
32T	Ash	510	B1	6.1	117.7	13	5	3	3	3	Mature	Good	Stem divides at 1.1m into multi-stemmed form, tight union, no internal bracing. Reaction growth is not acute.	Reduce in height by 2m to ease loading on included union given proximity to road	2
33T*	Sycamore	300	B1	3.6	40.7	8	3	3	3	3	Semi mature	Good	Minor dead wood at 4m north, tree is west of gateway entrance, existing tarmac within RPA.	N/A	N/A
34G	Ash, Sycamore and Elm	200	C1	2.4	18.1	10	2	2	2	2	Semi mature	Good	Cohesive linear tree group.	N/A	N/A
35G	Ash, Sycamore, Oak, Lime, Cherry and Norway maple	500	A2	6.0	113.1	13	5	5	5	5	Mature	Good	Cohesive tree group.	Assess for ash die back in summer when in leaf	3

- The tree survey process has been undertaken in accordance with [Section 4.4 of BS5837:2012 Trees in Relation to Design, Demolition and Construction Recommendations](#), summarised in [Appendix 1](#) of the Arboricultural Appraisal.
- Each item surveyed has been given a sequential number with the respective suffix 'T' for trees 'G' for groups of trees, 'H' for hedgerows and 'W' for woodland which corresponds to the Tree Constraints Plan ([Plan 1](#)) and Tree Protection Plan ([Plan 2](#)).
- The Root Protection Area (RPA) values have been calculated using the methodology set out in [Section 4.6 and Annexes C & D of BS5837:2012](#). Where pre-existing site conditions may indicate that the rooting system is not asymmetric, the RPAs may be modified in [Plan 1](#) and [Plan 2](#) but the area not reduced based on arboricultural reasoning. Such instances include the physical obstruction to root development or inhospitable rooting environments.
- Where trees are located outside of the client land ownership, or access is not achievable stem diameter have been estimated, highlighted using the suffix #
- Category Grading afforded to each item surveyed provides a hierarchy system for retention based on condition, amenity value and longevity in accordance with [Table 1 Cascade Chart for Tree Quality Assessment described in BS5837:2012](#). A = High value, B = Moderate value C = low value & U compromised condition. Suffix 1,2 & 3 related to Arboricultural, Cultural and Ecological value respectively.
- Priority codes provide a classification of the urgency of works; Code 1 = Undertake within one month of the survey date, Code 2 = Perform within 6 months of the survey date, Code 3 = Complete works within one year.
- Where specified small diameter limbs/deadwood refer to a diameter <75mm, moderate deadwood/medium diameter limb equates to that of 75mm – 150mm and large diameter limbs/deadwood are those that exceed a diameter of 150mm

Site Hamilton
 Client Hamilton Solar Ltd
 Surveyor D.Williams
 Survey date 01/03/2025
 Climatic conditions Overcast

Tree Constraints Information

Tree Reference No.	Species	Stem Diameter (mm) at 1.5m	Category Grade	Radius of RPA (m)	Area of RPA (m2)	Height (m)	Canopy Spread (m)				Life Stage	Physiological Condition	Structural Condition	Recommendations	Priority Code
							N	E	S	W					
36H	Hawthorn and Blackthorn	75	B2/3	0.9	2.5	1	0.3	0.3	0.25	0.25	Mature	Fair	Sparse/gaps in parts.	N/A	N/A
37H	Privet	75	B2	0.9	2.5	2	0.3	0.3	0.25	0.25	Mature	Fair	Hedgerow denoting edge of residential curtilage.	N/A	N/A
38T	Scots Pine	410, 300, 290 #	A1	7.0	154.8	8	2	5	5	6	Mature	Good	Lower stem obscured by hedge.	N/A	N/A
39H	Blackthorn, Hawthorn and Ash	75	B3	0.9	2.5	2	0.3	0.3	0.25	0.25	Mature	Good	Historically laid	N/A	N/A
40T	Sycamore	420 #	A1	5.0	79.8	7	6	5	4	5	Mature	Good	No significant defects, good potential for future growth, compaction from livestock noted	N/A	N/A
41G	Hawthorn and Holly	100	B1	1.2	4.5	4	1	1	1	1	Early mature	Fair	Part of hedgerow which has not been failed to maintain height	N/A	N/A
42T	Sycamore	600	A1	7.2	162.9	10	7	6	6	6	Mature	Good	Rope swing on western lower primary limb no significant defects noted.	N/A	N/A
43H	Hawthorn	110	B1	1.3	5.5	5	1	1	1	0.5	Mature	Fair	Height not managed, southwestern aspect flailed hard, dense and compact	N/A	N/A
44H	Hawthorn	75	B1	0.9	2.5	1	0.3	0.3	0.25	0.25	Mature	Fair	Attempts to gap plant have not been successful, smothered with bracken.	Replant bare sections and apply mulch to suppress weeds	3

- The tree survey process has been undertaken in accordance with *Section 4.4 of BS5837:2012 Trees in Relation to Design, Demolition and Construction Recommendations*, summarised in *Appendix 1* of the Arboricultural Appraisal.
- Each item surveyed has been given a sequential number with the respective suffix 'T' for trees 'G' for groups of trees, 'H' for hedgerows and 'W' for woodland which corresponds to the Tree Constraints Plan (*Plan 1*) and Tree Protection Plan (*Plan 2*).
- The Root Protection Area (RPA) values have been calculated using the methodology set out in *Section 4.6 and Annexes C & D of BS5837:2012*. Where pre-existing site conditions may indicate that the rooting system is not asymmetric, the RPAs may be modified in *Plan 1* and *Plan 2* but the area not reduced based on arboricultural reasoning. Such instances include the physical obstruction to root development or inhospitable rooting environments.
- Where trees are located outside of the client land ownership, or access is not achievable stem diameter have been estimated, highlighted using the suffix #
- Category Grading afforded to each item surveyed provides a hierarchy system for retention based on condition, amenity value and longevity in accordance with *Table 1 Cascade Chart for Tree Quality Assessment described in BS5837:2012*. **A** = High value, **B** = Moderate value **C** = low value & **U** compromised condition. Suffix 1,2 & 3 related to Arboricultural, Cultural and Ecological value respectively.
- Priority codes provide a classification of the urgency of works; Code 1 = Undertake within one month of the survey date, Code 2 = Perform within 6 months of the survey date, Code 3 = Complete works within one year.
- Where specified small diameter limbs/deadwood refer to a diameter <75mm, moderate deadwood/medium diameter limb equates to that of 75mm – 150mm and large diameter limbs/deadwood are those that exceed a diameter of 150mm